

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. [FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,163		01/02/2001	Robert C. Eisenman	RE-1 9699		
34284	7590	01/24/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT			LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC			
RUTAN & 611 ANTO		14TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA M	ESA, CA	92626-1931		2636 DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(^\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	O ,
	09/753,163	EISENMAN, ROBER	T C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Julie Lieu	2636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply	ALLO OFT TO EVEIDE AMONTH	(O) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 C</u>	october 2004.		
· 	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.∖11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-32</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110/3	a)_(d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 O.S.C. § 119(8	a)-(u) or (i).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No.	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio			age
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
Attachment(s)	"□· <u> </u>	, (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 09/753,163

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed October 6, 2004. Claims 1-25 have been canceled. Claim 26 has been amended.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. (US Patent No. 6,392,592) in view of Hoffman et al. (US Patent NO. 5,742,233).

Claim 26:

Johnson disclose a device, having a radio portion that communicates with a plurality of satellites for determining a location of the device comprising an apparatus for unlocking a door on nearby motor vehicle. Though Johnson is silent about the capability for triggering multiple warnings at different locations, including a first trigger that activates an alarm on the vehicle, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include this capability in the key fob in Johnson because keyless entry and vehicle antitheft alarms capabilities incorporated in a single key fob is conventional in the art.

Johnson fails to disclose a second trigger that transmits the location to a recipient at distant location that communicates with a ground dispatch location. However, the use of a

Application/Control Number: 09/753,163

Art Unit: 2636

personal locator is old in the art as taught in Hoffman et al. in which the device can be incorporated into a keychain, as admitted by applicant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the Hoffman device into the remote control unit in Johnson because Johnson readily teaches to combine the vehicle remote control with a locator device within the same key chain for convenience.

Claim 27:

Though no connector for attachment of a key is shown since the Johnson's device is a key fob of which the remote control unit is contained, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a key connector for attachment of a key in remote control unit, which is separate from a key.

Claims 28-30:

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the alarm is part of a security system of the motor vehicle in the modified system in Johnson wherein vehicle security alarm is used and some switches is used for activating and deactivating the alarm system.

Claim 31:

Johnson discloses using the same trigger to open the door and to send a signal to request a vehicle location indication. In light of this teaching, one skilled in the art would have readily recognized using the same trigger in Johnson and Hoffman to send both signals at the same time as desired. This feature only presents a choice in design as to how a signal would be activated.

Claim 32:

Johnson fails to discuss providing a sound when a switch is operated. However, it is conventional in the art to provide a sound to confirm an activation of the vehicle remote

Art Unit: 2636

function. Thus, one skilled in the art would have readily recognized using this feature in the combined system of Johnson and Hoffman because it is conventional in the art.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Urban et al., US Patent No. 5,630,206, discloses a position enhanced cellular telephone system.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 571-272-2978. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julie Lieu

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2636